HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS' EXPERIENCES OF CARDIOVASCULAR RISK IN TYPE 2 DIABETES IN PRIMARY CARE

Stenlund, A-L¹., Hellström Ängerud, K¹, Otten, J²., Lilja, M³., Jutterström, L⁴

1. Department of Nursing, Umeå University, Sweden.

2. Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Medicine, Umeå University, Sweden.

3. Unit of Research, Education and Development Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine – Östersund Hospital, Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Umeå University, Sweden.

4. Department of Nursing, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden. Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Family Medicine, Umeå University, Sweden



Contact details: , Anna-Lena Stenlund, RN, Phd student anna-lena.stenlund@umu.se



Medical faculty / Department of Nursing SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

Background

- Among persons living with type 2 diabetes, (T2D), cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality.
- Metabolic control diminishes long-term cardiovascular and other diabetes-related complications.
- Health care professionals (HCPs) in primary care (physicians and diabetes nurses) have an important role in providing effective support in self-management to reduce CVD risk.
- Previous results indicate that a disparity of concordance exists between the HCPs and persons living with T2D in the context of communicating CVD risk.
- Moreover, it is evident that persons living with T2D and HCPs often have different perspectives on diabetes.

Aim:

To describe diabetes nurses and physicians in primary healthcare, experiences of identifying, communicating, and acting on cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetes



METHOD

Participants

- Physicians and diabetes nurses (n=10)having at least two years of working experience with persons living with type 2 diabetes.
- From different healthcare centers in both rural and urban areas in Northern Sweden
- Age between 35 to 56 years, mean age 38
- Mean working experiences varied from 2 13 years.

Data collection

• Ten semi-structured interviews with vignettes (hypothetical cases)

Data analysis

• Constructivist Grounded theory method





"to adapt information so that the patients can take it in because if I give completely wrong adapted information, it's quite a waste, Identifying and assesing CVD risk	Following Hba1C, lifestyle habits, and values to identify CVD risk Understanding the value of their own professional competence in the communication of CVD risk Using guidelines and risk assessment instruments
Striving to reach out with the knowledge of CVD risk "But this trust again, if you want to accomplish change in people, they must start by trusting you. so building trust over time with the help of continuity is crucial".	Identifying the patient's own pre-understanding Motivating medical treatment and lifestyle changes Trying to communicate CVD risk in a pedagogical and clear way Being forced to accept not reaching out to all patients.
	Creating trust and understanding the patient's situation Finding a balance between encouraging and demanding
different how it has worked because we have not had a structured way of working around diabetes." UMEÅ UNIVERSITY	 Feeling alone due to the shortage of physicians Striving for shared responsibilities and consensus in diabetes care Struggling with lack of time, continuity, and resources

CONCLUSION

- The HCPs are taking big responsibility for the risk information
- The process is affected by the patient's ability to achieve knowledge, the consensus in the diabetes team, and the healthcare environment.
- The HCPs ask for better consensus for the diabetes team, new pedagogical approaches, developed communicative competence, and better working conditions to develop the risk information for persons living with type 2 diabetes.



